

- Convenience Translation -

Declaration by the Management Board of the general partner of Fresenius SE & Co. KGaA, Fresenius Management SE, and by the Supervisory Board of Fresenius SE & Co. KGaA on the German Corporate Governance Code pursuant to Section 161 German Stock Corporation Act (Aktiengesetz)

The Management Board of the general partner of Fresenius SE & Co. KGaA, Fresenius Management SE, (hereafter the Management Board) and the Supervisory Board of Fresenius SE & Co. KGaA declare that since the issuance of the previous declaration of conformity in December 2014 (amended in March 2015), the recommendations of the "Government Commission on the German Corporate Governance Code" published by the Federal Ministry of Justice (Bundesministerium der Justiz) in the official section of the Federal Gazette (Bundesanzeiger) (hereafter the Code) in the version of June 24, 2014 as well as in the version of May 5, 2015 since its publication in the Federal Gazette have been met and that the recommendations of the Code in the version of May 5, 2015 will also be met in the future. Only the following recommendations of the Code in the versions of June 24, 2014 and May 5, 2015 have not been and will not be met:

Code number 4.2.3 paragraph 2 sentence 6: Caps regarding specific compensation amounts

Pursuant to Code number 4.2.3 paragraph 2 sentence 6, the amount of compensation for Management Board members shall be capped, both overall and for variable compensation components.

This recommendation is not met. The service agreements with members of the Management Board do not provide for caps regarding specific amounts for all compensation components and accordingly not for caps regarding specific amounts for the overall compensation. The performance-oriented short-term compensation (the variable bonus) is capped. As regards stock options and phantom stocks as compensation components with long-term incentives, the service agreements with members of the Management Board do provide for a possibility of limitation but not for caps regarding specific amounts. Introducing caps regarding specific amounts in relation to such stock-based compensation components would contradict the basic idea of the members of the Management



Board participating appropriately in the economic risks and opportunities of the company. Instead of that, Fresenius pursues a flexible concept considering each individual case. In situations of extraordinary developments in relation to the stock-based compensation which are not related to the performance of the Management Board, the Supervisory Board may cap the stock-based compensation.

• Code number 4.2.3 paragraph 4: Severance payment cap

Pursuant to Code number 4.2.3 paragraph 4, in concluding Management Board contracts, care shall be taken to ensure that payments made to a Management Board member on premature termination of his/her contract, including fringe benefits, do not exceed the value of two years' compensation (severance payment cap) and compensate no more than the remaining term of the service agreement. The severance payment cap shall be calculated on the basis of the total compensation for the past full financial year and if appropriate also the expected total compensation for the current financial year.

These recommendations are not met insofar as the service agreements of the members of the Management Board do not contain severance payment arrangements for the case of premature termination of the contract and consequently do not contain a limitation of any severance payment amount to this extent. Uniform severance payment arrangements of this kind would contradict the concept practiced by Fresenius in accordance with the German Stock Corporation Act (Aktiengesetz) according to which service agreements of the members of the Management Board are, in principle, concluded for the period of their appointment. They would also not allow for a well-balanced assessment of the individual case.

• Code number 4.2.5 paragraph 3: Presentation in the compensation report

Pursuant to Code number 4.2.5 paragraph 3, the presentation of the compensation for each individual member of the Management Board in the compensation report shall present the maximum and minimum achievable compensation for variable compensation components by using corresponding model tables. The presentation of the compensation granted pursuant to the description for model table 1 shall also specify the target value or a comparable value of an "average probability scenario" for the one-year variable



compensation and for the deferrable portions from one-year variable compensations (deferrals).

Fresenius, in deviation from Code number 4.2.3 paragraph 2 sentence 6, does not provide for caps regarding specific amounts for all variable compensation components and, therefore, does not provide for caps regarding specific amounts for the overall compensation. There are no target values or comparable values for the one-year variable compensation and for the deferrable portions from one-year variable compensations (deferrals). The one-year variable compensation is determined on the basis of bonus curves applicable to several years. In this respect, the compensation report cannot meet the recommendations of the Code. Irrespective thereof, Fresenius will continue to present its compensation system and the amounts paid to members of the Management Board in its compensation report in a comprehensive and transparent manner. The compensation report will include tables relating to the value of the benefits granted as well as to the allocation in the year under review which follow the structure and largely also the specifications of the model tables.

Code number 5.1.2 paragraph 2 sentence 3: Age limit for members of the Management Board

Pursuant to Code number 5.1.2 paragraph 2 sentence 3, an age limit shall be specified for members of the Management Board. As in the past, Fresenius will refrain from determining an age limit for members of the Management Board in the future. Complying with this recommendation would unduly limit the selection of qualified candidates.

Code number 5.3.2 sentence 3: Independence of the Chairman of the Audit Committee

Pursuant to Code number 5.3.2 sentence 3, the Chairman of the Audit Committee shall be independent. Pursuant to Code number 5.4.2 sentence 2, a Supervisory Board member is not to be considered independent in particular if he/she has personal or business relations with the company, its executive bodies, a controlling shareholder or an enterprise associated with the latter which may cause a substantial and not merely temporary conflict of interests.



The Chairman of the Audit Committee of Fresenius SE & Co. KGaA, Prof. Dr. h.c. Roland Berger, is at the same time a shareholder of Roland Berger Strategy Consultants Holding GmbH and the honorary chairman of the Supervisory Board of Roland Berger Strategy Consultants Holding GmbH. During the course of the 2014 financial year and in previous years, the Fresenius Group has made use of consultancy services provided by Roland Berger Strategy Consultants GmbH, an affiliated enterprise of the management consultancy Roland Berger Strategy Consultants Holding GmbH. The Management Board and Supervisory Board believe that these business relations neither constitute a substantial or long-term conflict of interest, nor do they interfere with the tasks of the Chairman of the Audit Committee. As a precaution, however, a deviation from Code number 5.3.2 sentence 3 is being declared, given the legal views taken with regards to the question of independence.

Code number 5.3.3: Obtaining a proposal from the Nomination Committee

Pursuant to Code number 5.3.3, the Supervisory Board shall form a Nomination Committee which proposes suitable candidates to the Supervisory Board for recommendation to the General Meeting.

In this one case, the involvement of the Nomination Committee for the proposal of the successor of Dr. Gerhard Rupprecht was not sought. The Nomination Committee did not have a quorum because Dr. Rupprecht was himself a member of this committee. Furthermore, there was consensus in the Supervisory Board that Mr. Diekmann would be recommended for election as Dr. Rupprecht's successor. Against this background, an interim appointment to and action by the Nomination Committee appeared to be a pointless formality.

In the meantime, the Nomination Committee is now complete again. With regard to future cases, the intention is to once again fully comply with the recommendations of the Code number 5.3.3.



 Code number 5.4.1 paragraph 2 and paragraph 3: Specification of concrete objectives regarding the composition of the Supervisory Board and their consideration when making recommendations to the competent election bodies

Pursuant to code number 5.4.1 paragraph 2 and paragraph 3, the Supervisory Board shall specify concrete objectives regarding its composition and, when making recommendations to the competent election bodies, take these objectives into account. The objectives specified by the Supervisory Board and the status of the implementation shall be published in the Corporate Governance Report. These recommendations are not met. The composition of the Supervisory Board needs to be aligned to the enterprise's interest and has to ensure the effective supervision and consultation of the Management Board. Hence, it is a matter of principle and of prime importance that each member is suitably qualified. When discussing its recommendations to the competent election bodies, the Supervisory Board will take into account the international activities of the enterprise, potential conflicts of interest, the number of independent Supervisory Board members within the meaning of Code number 5.4.2, and diversity.

In the enterprise's interest not to limit the selection of qualified candidates in a general way, the Supervisory Board, however, confines itself to compliance with statutory requirements and particularly refrains from an age limit and a regular limit of length of membership.

 Code number 5.4.6 paragraph 2 sentence 2: A performance-related compensation of the members of the Supervisory Board oriented toward sustainable growth of the enterprise

Pursuant to Code number 5.4.6 paragraph 2 sentence 2, a performance-related compensation, if promised to the members of the Supervisory Board, shall be oriented toward sustainable growth of the enterprise. The variable compensation of the Supervisory Board members of Fresenius SE & Co. KGaA does not have a calculation basis of several years and is, therefore, not oriented, in this sense, toward the sustainable growth of the enterprise. Instead, the Supervisory Board receives a performance-related compensation which depends on the dividend



pursuant to Section 13 paragraph 1 of the Articles of Association of Fresenius SE & Co. KGaA. This compensation model has been in existence since the year 1995. It continues to bring forth an adequate compensation of the Supervisory Board in line with the law and with the interests of the shareholders.

Bad Homburg v.d.H., December 2015

Management Board of the general partner of Fresenius SE & Co. KGaA, Fresenius Management SE, and Supervisory Board of Fresenius SE & Co. KGaA