

PAION AG

Germany / Biotechnology
 Frankfurt Prime Standard
 Bloomberg: PA8 GR
 ISIN: DE000A0B65S3

Update

RATING BUY
PRICE TARGET €4.70
 Return Potential 118.5%
 Risk Rating High

PHASE III HEADLINES PAVE WAY FOR US PARTNERSHIP, CAPITAL RAISE

Headline data of Paion's U.S. phase III study with remimazolam in procedural sedation broadly confirmed phase IIb results released in 2010. The results suggest the time saving through using remimazolam instead of its prospective main competing product, midazolam, is likely to exceed six minutes. This is a substantial time saving on a typical colonoscopy procedure time of 30-60 minutes. The US colonoscopy market is currently seeing trends towards lower reimbursement per procedure and "bundling" or a contracted flat fee for the total cost of each colonoscopy. In this environment, physicians are looking for ways to maintain their income. Remimazolam, which has the advantage over midazolam of shorter onset/offset times and over its other main prospective competing product, propofol, of not requiring an anaesthetist is a clear potential answer to this problem. Under the terms of the licensing deal announced on 24 June, Cosmo Pharmaceuticals will make payments of up to €62.5m (milestones of €52.5m, of which €10m are upfront, and an equity raise of €10m) to Paion ahead of remimazolam's US commercialisation. These cash inflows should ensure that Paion is fully financed until remimazolam's launch. We raise our price target from €4.20 to €4.70 and maintain our Buy recommendation.

U.S. phase III results in procedural sedation published on 19 June Paion has published headline data from its U.S. phase III study with remimazolam in procedural sedation. The study enrolled 461 patients at 13 sites and was designed to evaluate efficacy and safety of remimazolam compared to placebo with rescue by midazolam. The study also included a non-comparative open label arm in which midazolam (likely to be the main competing product to remimazolam) was dosed according to its US label.

Primary endpoint met by 91.3% of patients The study's primary endpoint was success of the procedure. This was measured by completion of colonoscopy, no requirement for an alternative sedative and no requirement for more than 5 top-ups of study medication within any 15 minute period.

FINANCIAL HISTORY & PROJECTIONS

	2013	2014	2015	2016E	2017E	2018E
Revenue (€m)	4.23	3.46	0.07	10.00	15.00	40.76
Y-o-y growth	-84.2%	-18.3%	n.a.	n.a.	50.0%	171.7%
EBIT (€m)	-2.81	-11.64	-34.09	-20.25	-4.80	9.03
EBIT margin	-66.5%	-336.8%	-47599.0%	-202.5%	-32.0%	22.1%
Net income (€m)	-2.21	-9.10	-28.21	-14.95	-4.29	9.59
EPS (diluted) (€)	-0.09	-0.23	-0.56	-0.28	-0.08	0.17
DPS (€)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
FCF (€m)	-1.75	-12.07	-26.32	-14.86	-4.27	9.13
Net gearing	-99.7%	-94.1%	-91.9%	-90.8%	-89.7%	-91.4%
Liquid assets (€m)	13.29	58.91	32.68	27.42	23.55	32.68

RISKS

Risks to our price target include but are not limited to: drug development, finding development partners with favourable terms, financial, and legal risks.

COMPANY PROFILE

PAION is a specialty pharmaceutical company headquartered in Aachen (Germany) with operations in Cambridge (United Kingdom) and New Jersey (USA). PAION's lead substance, Remimazolam, is an intravenous ultra-short-acting anesthetic that is currently in Phase III clinical development for procedural sedation.

MARKET DATA

As of 04 Jul 2016

Closing Price	€ 2.15
Shares outstanding	55.74m
Market Capitalisation	€ 119.89m
52-week Range	€ 1.14 / 2.70
Avg. Volume (12 Months)	195,592

Multiples	2015	2016E	2017E
P/E	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
EV/Sales	1318.5	9.4	6.3
EV/EBIT	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Div. Yield	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

STOCK OVERVIEW



COMPANY DATA

As of 31 Mar 2016

Liquid Assets	€ 25.46m
Current Assets	€ 33.40m
Intangible Assets	€ 3.05m
Total Assets	€ 36.67m
Current Liabilities	€ 8.10m
Shareholders' Equity	€ 28.53m

SHAREHOLDERS

Cosmo Pharmaceuticals	9.1%
TIAA-CREF	3.0%
Free Float	87.9%



The procedure was successful in 91.3% of patients. The success rate was measured on an intention to treat basis and would presumably have been higher had some patients not withdrawn before the start of the procedure.

Secondary time-related endpoints of the study are very important Secondary study endpoints included time to start of procedure after administration of the first dose of medication and time to full alertness after the end of the procedure. These time-related secondary endpoints are very important. During commercialisation the extent to which remimazolam facilitates shorter procedure times will be crucial in persuading physicians to switch from the long-established drugs used in procedural sedation such as midazolam and propofol. The remimazolam arm of the study showed a mean time to start of procedure of 5.10 minutes and a mean time from end of procedure to return to full alertness of 9.25 minutes. Paion has not published data on the phase III performance of midazolam. These numbers will be included in the final peer-reviewed version of the study which will be published in due course. However, management points out that although the study designs are not identical, results of the phase III trial were similar to those of the phase IIb trial published in 2010.

Figure 1: Time-related endpoints of phase III and IIb studies

	remimazolam phase III (remimazolam arm) 5.0mg with 2.5mg top-ups 50-75µg fentanyl or lower	remimazolam phase IIb (remimazolam arm) 5.0mg with 3.0mg top-ups 100µg fentanyl	remimazolam phase IIb (midazolam arm) 2.5mg with 1.0mg top-ups 100µg fentanyl
mean time to start of procedure (minutes)	5.10	2.65	4.80
mean time to full alertness (minutes)	9.25	13.30	15.20

Source: Paion

Phase III study based on remimazolam dose of 5mg with 2.5mg top-ups In the phase IIb trial, which enrolled 160 patients, remimazolam was dosed at 8mg with 3 mg top-ups (40 patients), 7mg with 2mg top-ups (40 patients) and 5mg with 3mg top-ups (40 patients). Midazolam was dosed at 2.5mg with 1mg top-ups (40 patients) - i.e. in line with the recommendation on its US label. The phase IIb test results demonstrated that the 7mg and 5mg doses of remimazolam produced a deeper level of sedation than that required for routine colonoscopies. The phase IIb study also concluded that the 5mg dose group showed the highest efficacy rate and the best safety profile. The phase III study design therefore opted for a remimazolam dose of 5mg with 2.5mg top-ups. We therefore focus on the 5mg dose of remimazolam in our discussion of the phase IIb results and their comparison with the phase III results.

Phase IIb results understated time saving with remimazolam for two reasons... The phase IIb results showed onset of sedation with remimazolam of 2.65 minutes compared with 4.8 minutes for midazolam. Recovery from sedation as measured by the time to fully alert metric was 13.3 minutes compared with 15.2 minutes for midazolam. Based on these numbers remimazolam reduces procedure time by just over four minutes compared with midazolam. However, these results probably understate the true time saving through the use of remimazolam for two reasons.

...Use of propofol as a sedative rescue lowered midazolam recovery time... First, the midazolam recovery time was shortened because 25% of the midazolam patients could not be successfully sedated and were given propofol as a sedative rescue. Recovery time from sedation with propofol is substantially shorter than with midazolam and so the recovery time for these patients is artificially short.

...Midazolam phase IIb dose based on label, which is lower than dosage used in practice Second, the FDA stipulated that the phase IIb study dose midazolam at the level of the 2.5mg recommended on the drug's label.



In practice, as the article "Sedation in Gastrointestinal Endoscopy: Current Issues" in the 28 January 2013 edition of the World Journal of Gastroenterology indicates, physicians generally dose midazolam at <5mg to <6mg. At this dose level, the recovery time with midazolam is generally substantially longer than 15 minutes. Furthermore, one of the main differences between the phase III and phase IIb trials was the lower dose of the painkilling opioid analgesic, fentanyl, given in phase III compared with phase IIb. In phase III 50-75 µg of fentanyl were given compared with 100µg in phase IIb. This helps explain both the increase in mean time to start of procedure with remimazolam from 2.65 minutes in phase IIb to 5.1 minutes in phase III and the decrease in recovery time with remimazolam from 13.3 minutes in phase III to 9.25 minutes in phase II.

We expect time saving of remimazolam vs. midazolam use to exceed six minutes As we have already seen, headline phase III data does not include results for the midazolam arm. These will be published in due course as part of the final peer-reviewed study. We assume that the lower fentanyl dose will have the same effect on the phase III/IIb comparison for midazolam as for remimazolam i.e. raising time to start of procedure (onset) and lowering time to full alertness (offset). For this reason, we have not taken into account the lower fentanyl dose when assessing the likely difference between total onset/offset time for the two drugs. We only adjust for the impact of the lower dose of midazolam used in the two studies in comparison with practice and the use of propofol as a rescue sedative in phase IIb. The phase IIb study showed a 4.05 minute advantage for remimazolam in total onset/offset time over midazolam. Adjusting for the higher dosage of midazolam used in practice and the use of propofol in phase IIb, we think this advantage is likely to exceed six minutes. This is a substantial time saving on a typical colonoscopy procedure time of 30-60 minutes.

Two main prospective rival products are midazolam and propofol Around 20 million colonoscopy procedures are performed outside hospitals in the US every year. Paion and its new partner, Cosmo Pharmaceuticals, are seeking to position remimazolam with physicians who carry out these procedures. Sedatives are reimbursed as part of the cost of the procedure. Two sedatives - midazolam and propofol - currently dominate the non-hospital (clinic) colonoscopy market. Both of these drugs were introduced during the late 1970s. Propofol is used predominantly in the north eastern and eastern US while midazolam is mainly used in the west, midwest and south. Procedures carried out with Propofol require the assistance of an anaesthetist due to the drug's propensity to lower patients' blood pressure. The need for an anaesthetist increases revenues for providers but also raises costs for insurers and centres for medicare and medicaid services. Midazolam does not require the presence of an anaesthetist but has the disadvantage of longer onset and offset times relative to propofol.

Remimazolam suits lower reimbursement environment The US colonoscopy market is currently seeing trends towards lower reimbursement per procedure and "bundling" or a contracted flat fee for the total cost of each colonoscopy. In this environment, physicians are looking for ways to maintain their income. Remimazolam, which has the advantage over midazolam of shorter onset/offset times and over its other main prospective competing product, propofol, of not requiring an anaesthetist is a clear potential answer to this problem.

Pre-commercialisation cash flows from Cosmo could reach €62.5m On 24 June Paion announced a US license agreement for remimazolam with the Irish-headquartered company, Cosmo Pharmaceuticals N.V. (Cosmo). Cosmo is a specialty pharmaceutical company that aims to become a global leader in therapies for gastro-intestinal diseases. The company's shares are listed in Switzerland. 2015 sales were €61m while the market capitalisation is currently c. €2bn. Cosmo's business model has historically been based on licensing out its products to distribution partners. However, the company is now looking to set up its own commercial infrastructure in the US.



Cosmo's in-licensing of remimazolam for the US market is part of its strategy to grow the volume of endoscopy/gastrointestinal products it will sell through its future US distribution network. Cosmo will make a €10m upfront license fee payment to Paion. Paion will also receive up to €42.5m in payments contingent on milestones related to the U.S. regulatory approval process. Following regulatory approval and once commercialisation gets underway, Paion will receive tiered royalties on net sales in the U.S, ranging from 20% to 25%, which may be adjusted under certain conditions, but not to below 15% of net sales. In addition Paion will issue 5,064,194 new shares (entailing a 10% increase in its share capital) to a subsidiary of Cosmo, Granell Strategic Investment Fund (Granell), for proceeds of €9.6m. Granell has undertaken to invest a further €0.4m at a later date.

Immediate €19.6m injection from Cosmo should leave Paion with cash cushion

Under the terms of the license agreement, Cosmo has the right to further develop and commercialize remimazolam in the U.S. and will bear all future associated costs for market authorisation and distribution. Paion will carry the cost of the ongoing U.S. trials in procedural sedation. Paion had cash and cash equivalents of €25.5m on its balance sheet at the end of March 2016. At the time of the Q1 results release in mid-May, management indicated that the company's cash reach extended until the end of Q1/17 and that funds would be sufficient to complete the US phase III clinical trials in colonoscopy and bronchoscopy. The company also stated that additional funds of €10m would be required until filing in the US and a further €10m until market approval. The €10m milestone payment from Cosmo and the €9.6m share issue to Granell should ensure that Paion is financed until remimazolam is approved in the US as Cosmo will finance the filing and approval process. Given that Cosmo will be covering all regulatory costs ahead of approval, the immediate €19.6m cash injection should leave Paion with a cushion of at least €10m to pursue the development of other projects - for example the resumption of the phase III trial with remimazolam with cardiac surgery patients in Europe which was discontinued in February. This cash cushion will of course grow larger, if, as we expect, milestones from filing and approval are paid.

Figure 2: Changes to our forecasts

in EURm	2016E			2017E			2018E		
	Old	New	Δ	Old	New	Δ	Old	New	Δ
Sales*	5.09	10.00	96.5%	3.52	15.00	326.3%	21.58	40.76	88.9%
EBIT	-25.16	-20.25	-	-19.78	-4.80	-	-10.16	9.03	-
margin	neg.	neg.	-	neg.	neg.	-	neg.	22.1%	-
Net income	-19.56	-14.95	-	-18.79	-4.29	-	-9.46	9.59	-
margin	neg.	neg.	-	neg.	neg.	-	neg.	23.5%	-
EPS (dil., in EUR)	-0.39	-0.28	-	-0.25	-0.08	-	-0.12	0.17	-

* including other operating income such as milestone payments

Source: Paion

We raise price target to €4.70 (previously: €4.20) and maintain Buy recommendation

We have adjusted our forecasts to account for the US licensing deal with Cosmo. We had previously assumed that Paion would opt to commercialise remimazolam in the US itself. Under this scenario we had modelled a PACME margin (profit after costs and marketing expenses) of 30% as well as a share issue of €50m in 2016 and a convertible issue of €50m in 2018. We now model the US licensing agreement with Cosmo under which we assume a lower PACME margin at 22% but pre-commercialisation cash inflows totalling €62.5m (milestones of €52.5m and an equity raise of €10m). Figure 2 shows changes to our forecasts. The increases in sales and profitability are a function of the higher milestone payments we now model. The milestone payments in our forecasts to 2018 now stem solely from the Cosmo partnership. Other milestone payments may come from the partnership agreements with R-Pharm, Pendopharm etc. but we have removed these from our forecasts to 2018 because we are uncertain as to their timing.



As figure 3 shows, lowering the PACME margin for the US contributes to a reduction in our pipeline NPV estimate by 18.5% to €182.7m (€224.1m). The substitution of milestones for a large part of the capital raises we had previously modelled lowers the diluted share count by 28.3% to 55.88m (77.885m). In consequence, our price target now rises by 12% to €4.70 (previously: €4.20). We maintain our Buy recommendation.

Figure 3: Pipeline valuation model

Compound	Project (1)	Present Value	Patient Pop	Treatment Cost	Market Size	Market Share	Peak Sales	PACME Margin (2)	Discount Factor	Patent Life (3)	Time to Market
Remimazolam	PS EU	€51.7M	25,300K	€15	€387.2M	25%	€108.0M	30%	15%	9	4 Years
Remimazolam	PS US	€105.7M	15,950K	€20	€319.0M	50%	€185.2M	2%	15%	14	3 Years
Remimazolam	PS CAN	€3.4M	1,056K	€20	€21.1M	50%	€11.8M	15%	15%	9	5 Years
Remimazolam	GA EU	€159.4M	37,800K	€40	€1,512.0M	20%	€337.4M	30%	15%	9	4 Years
Remimazolam	GA US	€127.5M	23,925K	€40	€957.0M	20%	€222.2M	3%	15%	12	5 Years
Remimazolam	GA JAP	€79.2M	26,000K	€40	€1,040.0M	25%	€290.1M	10%	15%	11	2 Years
Remimazolam	GA CHN	€33.4M	51,000K	€31	€1,561.1M	10%	€188.6M	10%	15%	14	4 Years
Remimazolam	GA KOR	€5.0M	3,750K	€31	€114.8M	25%	€32.0M	10%	5%	8	4 Years
Remimazolam	GA CIS/MENA/TUR	€45.9M	55,247K	€32	€1,740.7M	10%	€194.2M	15%	15%	9	4 Years
Remimazolam	ICU US	€14.4M	3,988K	€184	€733.7M	10%	€85.2M	15%	15%	9	4 Years
Remimazolam	ICU EU	€10.7M	3,988K	€120	€478.5M	10%	€53.4M	30%	15%	6	5 Years
Other	HF/HPH	€12.1M	1,333K	€926	€1,234.3M	20%	€292.3M	5%	15%	10	8 Years
PACME PV		€648.4M									
Costs PV (4)		€465.7M									
NPV		€182.7M									
Milestones PV		€47.0M									
Pro forma net cash		€35.4M									
Fair Value		€265.1M									
Share Count		55,878K									
Price Target		€4.74									

1) A project typically refers to a specific indication or, where necessary or relevant, a combination between indication and geographic market

PS EU = Procedural Sedation in the EU

PS US = Procedural Sedation in the US

PS CAN = Procedural Sedation in Canada

GA EU = General Anaesthesia in the EU

GA US = General Anaesthesia in the US

GA JAP = General Anaesthesia in Japan

GA CHN = General Anaesthesia in China

GA KOR = General Anaesthesia in South Korea

GA CIS/MENA/TUR = General Anaesthesia in the Commonwealth of Independent States, Middle East & North Africa, and Turkey

ICU US = General Anaesthesia in Intensive Care Units in the US

ICU EU = General Anaesthesia in Intensive Care Units in the EU

Other projects: GGF2 (HF) and Solulin (HPH)

HF = Heart Failure

HPH = Haemophilia

2) PACME (Profit After Costs and Marketing Expenses) reflects the company's profit share on future revenues.

This share may be derived in the form of royalties (outsourced marketing/manufacturing) or operating EBITDA margin (in-house model), or some mix of both (depending on the specific parameters of partnership agreements)

3) Remaining patent life after the point of approval

4) Includes company-level R&D, G&A, Financing Costs, CapEx and working capital; COGS and S&M are factored into the PACME margin for each project

Source: First Berlin Equity Research

Figure 4: Changes to pipeline valuation model

	Old	New	Delta
PACME PV	€716.8M	€648.4M	-9.5%
Costs PV (4)	€492.7M	€465.7M	-5.5%
NPV	€224.1M	€182.7M	-18.5%
Milestones PV	€11.3M	€47.0M	315.5%
Pro Forma Net Cash	€88.5M	€35.4M	-60.0%
Fair Value	€324.0M	€265.1M	-18.2%
Diluted Share Count	77,885K	55,878K	-28.3%
Fair Value Per Share	€4.16	€4.74	14.0%

Source: First Berlin Equity Research



INCOME STATEMENT

All figures in EUR '000	2013	2014	2015	2016E	2017E	2018E
Net revenues	0	4	0	0	0	13,261
Other op. inc. (including milestones)	4,228	3,452	72	10,000	15,000	27,500
Total revenue	4,228	3,456	72	10,000	15,000	40,761
Cost of goods sold	0	4	11	0	0	11,935
Gross profit	0	0	61	0	0	1,326
PACME	4,228	3,452	132	10,000	15,000	28,826
G&A	3,314	3,702	5,729	4,750	4,800	4,800
R&D	4,583	11,799	29,385	25,500	15,000	15,000
Other operating income (expense)	860	411	965	0	0	0
Operating income (EBIT)	-2,810	-11,639	-34,088	-20,250	-4,800	9,026
Net financial result	-170	66	42	553	510	562
Pre-tax income (EBT)	-2,980	-11,573	-34,046	-19,697	-4,290	9,588
Income taxes	768	2,468	5,834	4,750	0	0
Net income / loss	-2,212	-9,105	-28,212	-14,947	-4,290	9,588
Diluted EPS	-0.09	-0.23	-0.56	-0.28	-0.08	0.17
EBITDA	-2,505	-11,327	-33,742	-19,660	-3,540	9,678
Ratios						
EBIT margin on PACME	-66.5%	-337%	-25768%	-202.5%	-32.0%	31.3%
EBITDA margin on PACME	-59.3%	-328%	-25507%	-196.6%	-23.6%	33.6%
Net margin on PACME	-52.3%	-264%	-21326%	-149.5%	-28.6%	33.3%
Cash Coverage of Expenses						
Cash / G&A	4.0x	15.9x	5.7x	5.8x	4.9x	6.8x
Cash / R&D	2.9x	5.0x	1.1x	1.1x	1.6x	2.2x
Y-Y Growth						
Total revenue	-84.2%	-18.3%	-97.9%	13863.6%	50.0%	171.7%
Operating income	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
Net income/ loss	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.



BALANCE SHEET

All figures in EUR '000	2013	2014	2015	2016E	2017E	2018E
Assets						
Current assets, total	14,433	63,032	40,051	33,794	27,302	36,641
Cash and cash equivalents	13,292	58,912	32,680	27,419	23,552	32,679
Short-Term Investments	0	0	0	0	0	0
Receivables	0	467	0	0	0	212
Inventories	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other current assets	1,141	3,653	7,371	6,375	3,750	3,750
Non-current assets, total	3,583	3,516	3,417	3,462	3,512	3,769
Property, plant & equipment	89	76	56	100	150	408
Goodwill & other intangibles	3,494	3,440	3,362	3,362	3,362	3,362
Other Assets	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total assets	18,016	66,548	43,468	37,256	30,814	40,410
Shareholders' equity & debt						
Current Liabilities, Total	4,659	3,924	7,901	7,064	4,560	4,658
Convertible bond	0	0	0	0	0	0
Short-term debt	0	0	0	0	0	0
Accounts payable	1,914	3,338	7,332	6,375	3,750	3,750
Provisions	2,508	306	224	255	300	398
Other current liabilities	236	280	344	434	510	510
Longterm liabilities, total	28	17	6	8	5	12
Convertible bond	0	0	0	0	0	0
Long-term debt	0	0	0	0	0	0
Provisions	0	0	0	0	0	0
Deferred revenue	28	17	6	8	5	12
Shareholders' equity	13,329	62,607	35,562	30,185	26,249	35,740
Total consolidated equity and debt	18,016	66,548	43,468	37,256	30,814	40,410
Ratios						
Current ratio (x)	3.10	16.06	5.07	4.78	5.99	7.87
Quick ratio (x)	3.10	16.06	5.07	4.78	5.99	7.87
Net gearing	-99.7%	-94.1%	-91.9%	-90.8%	-89.7%	-91.4%
Book value per share (€)	0.53	1.24	0.70	0.54	0.47	0.64
Net cash	13,292	58,912	32,680	27,419	23,552	32,679
Return on equity (ROE)	-15.3%	-24.0%	-57.5%	-45.5%	-15.2%	30.9%



CASH FLOW STATEMENT

All figures in EUR '000	2013	2014	2015	2016E	2017E	2018E
Net result	-2,212	-9,105	-28,212	-14,947	-4,290	9,588
Depreciation and amortization	390	93	125	590	1,260	652
Changes in working capital	457	284	3,999	130	73	-204
Other adjustments	-381	-3,316	-2,198	0	0	0
Operating cash flow	-1,746	-12,044	-26,287	-14,226	-2,957	10,036
CAPEX	-5	-26	-33	-634	-1,310	-910
Free cash flow	-1,751	-12,070	-26,320	-14,860	-4,267	9,126
Debt financing, net	-7,000	0	0	0	0	0
Convertible bond financing, net	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equity financing, net	0	57,618	22	9,600	400	0
Other changes in cash	-293	72	66	0	0	0
Net cash flows	-9,044	45,620	-26,232	-5,260	-3,867	9,126
Cash, start of the year	22,336	13,292	58,912	32,680	27,419	23,552
Cash, end of the year	13,292	58,912	32,680	27,419	23,552	32,679
<hr/>						
Y-Y Growth						
Operating cash flow	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
Free cash flow	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
EBITDA/share	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.

FIRST BERLIN RECOMMENDATION & PRICE TARGET HISTORY

Report No.:	Date of publication	Previous day closing price	Recommendation	Price target
Initial Report	2 April 2012	€0.79	Buy	€2.00
2...28	↓	↓	↓	↓
29	9 March 2016	€2.32	Buy	€4.60
30	31 March 2016	€2.18	Buy	€4.20
31	18 May 2016	€1.98	Buy	€4.20
32	Today	€2.15	Buy	€4.70

Authored by: Simon Scholes, Analyst

Company responsible for preparation:

First Berlin Equity Research GmbH

Mohrenstraße 34
10117 Berlin

Tel. +49 (0)30 - 80 93 96 94 Fax +49 (0)30 - 80 93 96 87

info@firstberlin.com

www.firstberlin.com

Person responsible for forwarding or distributing this financial analysis: Martin Bailey

Copyright© 2016 First Berlin Equity Research GmbH No part of this financial analysis may be copied, photocopied, duplicated or distributed in any form or media whatsoever without prior written permission from First Berlin Equity Research GmbH. First Berlin Equity Research GmbH shall be identified as the source in the case of quotations. Further information is available on request.

INFORMATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 34b OF THE GERMAN SECURITIES TRADING ACT [WPHG] AND THE GERMAN ORDINANCE ON THE ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS [FINANV]

First Berlin Equity Research GmbH (hereinafter referred to as: "First Berlin") prepares financial analyses while taking the relevant regulatory provisions, in particular the German Securities Trading Act [WpHG] and the German Ordinance on the Analysis of Financial Instruments [FinAnV], into consideration. In the following First Berlin provides investors with information about the statutory provisions that are to be observed in the preparation of financial analyses.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

In accordance with Section 34b Paragraph 1 p. 2 No. 2 of the German Securities Trading Act [WpHG] financial analyses may only be passed on or publicly distributed if circumstances or relations which may cause conflicts of interest among the authors, the legal entities responsible for such preparation or companies associated with them are disclosed along with the financial analysis.

First Berlin offers a range of services that go beyond the preparation of financial analyses. Although First Berlin strives to avoid conflicts of interest wherever possible, First Berlin may maintain the following relations with the analysed company, which in particular may constitute a potential conflict of interest (further information and data may be provided on request):

- The author, First Berlin, or a company associated with First Berlin holds an interest of more than five percent in the share capital of the analysed company;
- The author, First Berlin, or a company associated with First Berlin provided investment banking or consulting services for the analysed company within the past twelve months for which remuneration was or was to be paid;
- The author, First Berlin, or a company associated with First Berlin reached an agreement with the analysed company for preparation of a financial analysis for which remuneration is owed;
- The author, First Berlin, or a company associated with First Berlin has other significant financial interests in the analysed company;

In order to avoid and, if necessary, manage possible conflicts of interest both the author of the financial analysis and First Berlin shall be obliged to neither hold nor in any way trade the securities of the company analyzed. The remuneration of the author of the financial analysis stands in no direct or indirect connection with the recommendations or opinions represented in the financial analysis. Furthermore, the remuneration of the author of the financial analysis is neither coupled directly to financial transactions nor to stock exchange trading volume or asset management fees.

If despite these measures one or more of the aforementioned conflicts of interest cannot be avoided on the part of the author or First Berlin, then reference shall be made to such conflict of interest.

PRICE TARGET DATES

Unless otherwise indicated, current prices refer to the closing prices of the previous trading day.

AGREEMENT WITH THE ANALYSED COMPANY AND MAINTENANCE OF OBJECTIVITY

The present financial analysis is based on the author's own knowledge and research. The author prepared this study without any direct or indirect influence exerted on the part of the analysed company. Parts of the financial analysis were possibly provided to the analysed company prior to publication in order to avoid inaccuracies in the representation of facts. However, no substantial changes were made at the request of the analysed company following any such provision.

ASSET VALUATION SYSTEM

First Berlin's system for asset valuation is divided into an asset recommendation and a risk assessment.

ASSET RECOMMENDATION

The recommendations determined in accordance with the share price trend anticipated by First Berlin in the respectively indicated investment period are as follows:

STRONG BUY: An expected favourable price trend of more than 50% combined with sizeable confidence in the quality and forecast security of management.

BUY: An expected favourable price trend of more than 25% percent.

ADD: An expected favourable price trend of between 0% and 25%.

REDUCE: An expected negative price trend of between 0% and -15%.

SELL: An expected negative price trend of more than -15%.

RISK ASSESSMENT

The First Berlin categories for risk assessment are low, average, high and speculative. They are determined by ten factors: Corporate governance, quality of earnings, management strength, balance sheet and financial risk, competitive position, standard of financial disclosure, regulatory and political uncertainty, strength of brandname, market capitalisation and free float. These risk factors are incorporated into the First Berlin valuation models and are thus included in the target prices. First Berlin customers may request the models.

INVESTMENT HORIZON

Unless otherwise stated in the financial analysis, the ratings refer to an investment period of twelve months.

UPDATES

At the time of publication of this financial analysis it is not certain whether, when and on what occasion an update will be provided. In general First Berlin strives to review the financial analysis for its topicality and, if required, to update it in a very timely manner in connection with the reporting obligations of the analysed company or on the occasion of ad hoc notifications.

SUBJECT TO CHANGE

The opinions contained in the financial analysis reflect the assessment of the author on the day of publication of the financial analysis. The author of the financial analysis reserves the right to change such opinion without prior notification.

Legally required information regarding

- key sources of information in the preparation of this research report
- valuation methods and principles
- sensitivity of valuation parameters

can be accessed through the following internet link: <http://firstberlin.com/disclaimer-english-link/>

SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY: Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht (German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority) [BaFin], Graurheindorferstraße 108, 53117 Bonn and Lurgiallee 12, 60439 Frankfurt

EXCLUSION OF LIABILITY (DISCLAIMER)

RELIABILITY OF INFORMATION AND SOURCES OF INFORMATION

The information contained in this study is based on sources considered by the author to be reliable. Comprehensive verification of the accuracy and completeness of information and the reliability of sources of information has neither been carried out by the author nor by First Berlin. As a result no warranty of any kind whatsoever shall be assumed for the accuracy and completeness of information and the reliability of sources of information, and neither the author nor First Berlin, nor the person responsible for passing on or distributing the financial analysis shall be liable for any direct or indirect damage incurred through reliance on the accuracy and completeness of information and the reliability of sources of information.

RELIABILITY OF ESTIMATES AND FORECASTS

The author of the financial analysis made estimates and forecasts to the best of the author's knowledge. These estimates and forecasts reflect the author's personal opinion and judgement. The premises for estimates and forecasts as well as the author's perspective on such premises are subject to constant change. Expectations with regard to the future performance of a financial instrument are the result of a measurement at a single point in time and may change at any time. The result of a financial analysis always describes only one possible future development – the one that is most probable from the perspective of the author – of a number of possible future developments.

Any and all market values or target prices indicated for the company analysed in this financial analysis may not be achieved due to various risk factors, including but not limited to market volatility, sector volatility, the actions of the analysed company, economic climate, failure to achieve earnings and/or sales forecasts, unavailability of complete and precise information and/or a subsequently occurring event which affects the underlying assumptions of the author and/or other sources on which the author relies in this document. Past performance is not an indicator of future results; past values cannot be carried over into the future.

Consequently, no warranty of any kind whatsoever shall be assumed for the accuracy of estimates and forecasts, and neither the author nor First Berlin, nor the person responsible for passing on or distributing the financial analysis shall be liable for any direct or indirect damage incurred through reliance on the correctness of estimates and forecasts.

INFORMATION PURPOSES, NO RECOMMENDATION, SOLICITATION, NO OFFER FOR THE PURCHASE OF SECURITIES

The present financial analysis serves information purposes. It is intended to support institutional investors in making their own investment decisions; however in no way provide the investor with investment advice. Neither the author, nor First Berlin, nor the person responsible for passing on or distributing the financial analysis shall be considered to be acting as an investment advisor or portfolio manager vis-à-vis an investor. Each investor must form his own independent opinion with regard to the suitability of an investment in view of his own investment objectives, experience, tax situation, financial position and other circumstances.

The financial analysis does not represent a recommendation or solicitation and is not an offer for the purchase of the security specified in this financial analysis. Consequently, neither the author nor First Berlin, nor the person responsible for passing on or distributing the financial analysis shall as a result be liable for losses incurred through direct or indirect employment or use of any kind whatsoever of information or statements arising out of this financial analysis.

A decision concerning an investment in securities should take place on the basis of independent investment analyses and procedures as well as other studies including, but not limited to, information memoranda, sales or issuing prospectuses and not on the basis of this document.

NO ESTABLISHMENT OF CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

By taking note of this financial analysis the recipient neither becomes a customer of First Berlin, nor does First Berlin incur any contractual, quasi-contractual or pre-contractual obligations and/or responsibilities toward the recipient. In particular no information contract shall be established between First Berlin and the recipient of this information.

NO OBLIGATION TO UPDATE

First Berlin, the author and/or the person responsible for passing on or distributing the financial analysis shall not be obliged to update the financial analysis. Investors must keep themselves informed about the current course of business and any changes in the current course of business of the analysed company.

DUPLICATION

Dispatch or duplication of this document is not permitted without the prior written consent of First Berlin.

SEVERABILITY

Should any provision of this disclaimer prove to be illegal, invalid or unenforceable under the respectively applicable law, then such provision shall be treated as if it were not an integral component of this disclaimer; in no way shall it affect the legality, validity or enforceability of the remaining provisions.

APPLICABLE LAW, PLACE OF JURISDICTION

The preparation of this financial analysis shall be subject to the law obtaining in the Federal Republic of Germany. The place of jurisdiction for any disputes shall be Berlin (Germany).

NOTICE OF DISCLAIMER

By taking note of this financial analysis the recipient confirms the binding nature of the above explanations.

By using this document or relying on it in any manner whatsoever the recipient accepts the above restrictions as binding for the recipient.

QUALIFIED INSTITUTIONAL INVESTORS

First Berlin financial analyses are intended exclusively for qualified institutional investors.

This report is not intended for distribution in the USA, Canada and/or the United Kingdom (Great Britain).